

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,151	05/25/2001	Shaw-Jong Wang	06542.0037	1452
22852 7	590 03/25/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			LEE, GUIYOUNG	
WASHINGTON, DC 20003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/864,151	WANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
-		Guiyoung Lee	2875			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Pennancius to communication(s) filed on					
1)∐ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	— · nis action is non-final.				
2a)□ 3)□	,—		prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	on of Claims					
,	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
<i>'</i>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)[_ approved b)[_ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
L						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chien (USPT 5,836,671).

Re claim 1: Chien'671 teaches a vibration-activated light emitting indication apparatus having,

- an indicator plate, which is transparent and has a pattern (Fig. 6);
- a power supply (303);
- an electroluminescent laminate (602), which is situated beneath the indictor plate;
- a control unit (403), connected to the power supply and the electroluminescent laminate.

Re claim 2: Chien'671 discloses a multi color electroluminescent laminate (col. 3, lines – 16).

Re claims 3 and 7: Chien'671 teaches that the electroluminescent laminate emits the light at a time or emits the light intermittently (col. 3, lines 19-20).

Re claims 4-5: Chien'671 discloses a vibration sensor IC (col. 4, lines 13-15), an inverter.

Re claim6: Chien'671 teaches a battery.

Re claims 8-9: Chien'671 teaches that the pattern is a logo, animal or cartoon character (col. 3, lines 18-20).

Re claims 10-13; Chien'671 teaches that the case is a package box, a DVD box, or bag or a toy case (col. 25-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chien'671 as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Chien (USPT 6,082,867).

Re claim 7: Considering the limitations of claim 7 that is a control unit having a music IC, although Chien'671 does not disclose a music IC, Chien'867 teaches and motivates the use of a music IC, saying that "The changing color features of the three-dimensional electro-luminescent lighting element can be utilized in this application by making the color change responsive to sound, hear, humidity, pressure or weight, music, or any other desired condition (col. 3, lines 40-45)."

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Burrows (USPT 6,271,631) discloses an EL lamp having a logo.

Chien (USPT 5,833,508) shows a multi-color illuminated panel having a decorative sheet.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Guiyoung Lee* whose telephone number is (703) 308-8567. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 5:30AM to 2:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)308-7722, (703)308-7724, or (703)308-7382.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Guiyoung.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

GYL
GAU2875
March/19/2002

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner